Northern District of California

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT JOHNSON,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

CINTZ COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES, LP, et al..

Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-05550-SVK

ORDER REOPENING CASE, LIFTING STAY, AND DIRECTING PARTIES TO MEET AND CONFER ON BRIEFING **SCHEDULE**

The Court stayed this case pending resolution of an appeal in N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:17-cv-02454-WHA and required the parties to submit a joint status report within 14 days of resolution of the appeal. See Dkt. 43. The Court also directed the Clerk to administratively close the case. Id. Although the parties have failed to file a status report in this case, the parties have filed joint status reports in other cases confirming that the appeal has been resolved. See, e.g., Johnson v. Sun Garden Center 1, LLC, Case No. 5:18-cv-4402-SVK, at Dkt. 47. Accordingly, the Court directs the Clerk of Court to **REOPEN** this case, and the stay in this case is hereby **LIFTED**.

The parties' status report in other cases identifies a disagreement between the parties as to whether the Ninth Circuit's recent rulings resolve the issues in this case. See id. The Court notes that the parties have filed similar status reports in other cases and have been ordered to meet and confer to agree upon a schedule for briefing on issue preclusion. See, e.g., Johnson v. Starbucks Corp., N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:16-cv-06792-SK, at Dkt. 86; Johnson v. Starbucks Corp., N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:18-cv-1134-TSH, at Dkt. 64. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that by **September** 29, 2020, the parties shall meet and confer by video conference to discuss a schedule for briefing on issue preclusion. By October 2, 2020, the parties shall file a stipulation, or if they cannot agree, separate proposals for a briefing schedule.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 17, 2020

United States Magistrate Judge